BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	
DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)	
FOR APPROVAL OF MODIFICATION TO)	PSC DOCKET NO. 16-0889
ITS GAS COST RATES)	
(FILED AUGUST 26, 2016))	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MALIKA DAVIS ON BEHALF OF COMMISSION STAFF

JANUARY 20, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page:
I.	Introduction and Purpose of Testimony	1
II.	Summary of Conclusions	2
III.	Background of Application	3
	A. Summary and Review of the Application	3
	B. Assessment of the Application	6
	C. 2015-2016 Gas Cost Rate Proceeding	7
	D. 2013-2014 Gas Cost Rate Proceeding.	9
	E. Forecasted Gas Sales and Supply Costs	10
	F. Capacity Release and Off-System Sales	14
IV.	Gas Cost Rate Recommendations	14

Appendix

I. Introduction and Purpose of Testimony

2 Q. Please state your name, business address, and current occupation.

- 3 A. My name is Malika Davis. My business address is 861 Silver Lake Boulevard, Suite 100,
- 4 Dover, Delaware 19904. I am a Public Utility Analyst III for the Delaware Public Service
- 5 Commission ("PSC" or "Commission"). I have been employed as a Public Utility Analyst since
- 6 joining the Commission in March 2010.

7

1

8 Q. What are your job responsibilities as a public utility analyst?

9 I am responsible for the certification of Delaware electric suppliers, the monitoring of A. 10 Delmarva Power & Light's ("Delmarva" or the "Company") quarterly reports related to 11 customer service and operational issues, and the monitoring of Delmarva's quarterly rate of 12 return reports. I also monitor Delmarva's monthly reports for the gas cost rate recovery 13 schedules and quarterly hedging reports. I am part of the team that works with the Company 14 regarding issues related to customer education initiatives involving advanced metering 15 infrastructure and dynamic pricing. I have also served as the case manager in Delmarya's most 16 recent Environmental Surcharge Rider cases. Additionally, I served as the case manager for 17 Delmarva's most recent natural gas base rate case. I have also served as a case manager or

19

18

20 Q. What is your professional experience and educational background?

21 A. I have a Bachelor of Science in Marketing and Business Administration and a Master of

member of the rate case team for cases involving Commission regulated water companies.

- 22 Business Administration from Delaware State University. Prior to my employment with the PSC,
- I was employed as a Management Analyst I with the Delaware Division of Motor Vehicles

1 (DMV). My duties included monitoring the Commercial Driver Licensing Program for 2 compliance with State and Federal laws and regulations, training driver license examiners, 3 issuance staff, and driver improvement staff, interacting with other State and Federal agencies, 4 representing the DMV at administrative hearings, applying for Federal grants and maintaining 5 compliance with Federal requirements for grant reporting. Before accepting the position with the 6 DMV, I was employed as a Labor Market Analyst with the Delaware Department of Labor in the 7 Office of Occupational Labor Market Information, where I was assigned to work on the 8 Occupational Employment Statistics program. I was also previously employed at Delaware State 9 University where I held several positions including Records Office Assistant/Secretary, Acting 10 Lead Student Services Generalist, Adjunct Instructor, and Career and Academic Advisor for the 11 College of Business.

12

13

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

- A. I was assigned to review Delmarva's Application for a Change in Its Annual Gas Cost Rates (the "Application") to ensure that the proposed rates are just and reasonable, and that they
- 16 comply with Delmarva's gas service tariff. In my testimony I have included a recommendation
- 17 to the Commission with regard to the treatment of this Application.

18

19

II. Summary of Conclusions

- 20 Q. Please summarize your conclusions and recommendations.
- 21 A. After reviewing the Application and responses to data requests I have formed the
- 22 following conclusions and recommendations:

- The Gas Cost Rates ("GCR") requested in the Application for November 1, 2016, through October 31, 2017, and approved by the Commission on a provisional basis in Order No. 8942, should be approved as final. These rates will be subject to a true-up in Delmarva's next GCR proceeding based on actual gas procurement costs and revenue during this period.
 - The requested tariff changes should be approved.
 - The Company is complying with the settlement agreement in Docket 15-1355.
 - The Company should continue with its actions to mitigate increases in fixed costs with regard to pipeline charges, storage services and peaking sources.

11 III. Background of the Application

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

13 A. Summary and Review of the Application

15 Q. Please summarize the Application.

A. The GCR is the rate that the Company charges its customers to recover its natural gas costs for the twelve month period from November 1st through October 31st of each year, also known as the Gas Cost Year ("GCY"). The Company's gas service tariff requires an annual estimated GCR filing to be made by August 31st of each year. The gas service tariff also contains a provision for reconciling over- or under-recoveries from a preceding year. The GCR recovers the Company's total purchased gas costs. Components of the purchased gas costs include commodity purchases, transportation, demand, storage, capacity charges, and hedging costs. Any refunds received from the Company's suppliers are credited against the GCR.

The Application shows a projected under-recovery balance of \$1,435,982 for the period ending October 31, 2016. The rates effective November 1, 2016, are based on projected sales data and gas costs for the twelve-month period November 1, 2016, through October 31, 2017.

Q. What changes to the current GCR is the Company proposing?

A. On August 26, 2016, Delmarva filed an Application to revise the GCR demand charge applicable to Service Classifications Electing Medium Volume Gas Service ("MVG") and Large Volume Gas Service ("LVG"), to revise the volumetrically applied GCR factors applicable to Service Classifications Residential Gas Sales Service ("RG"), General Gas Sales Service ("GG"), and Gas Lighting Sales Service ("GL"), effective on November 1, 2016, with proration. Additionally, the Company seeks approval for the proposed Balancing Charge and Pressure Support Fee ("PSF") for the November 2016 – October 2017 GCR period and approval of

Below is an illustration of the modifications Delmarva is proposing to its GCR:

changes to the Gas Service Tariff to become effective November 1, 2016.

Rate Schedules	Current	Proposed	Change from Current	
RG, GG, GL, and MVG	39.467¢/ccf	44.995¢/ccf	5.528¢/ccf	
LVG and Electing MVG	\$1.7022	\$1.7148/Mcf	\$.0126/Mcf	
Demand				
LVG and Electing MVG	Varies Monthly	Varies Monthly	N/A	
Commodity				

Q. How would the proposed changes to the GCR impact Delmarva's residential

customers?

A. The effect on a residential space heating customer using 120 ccf in a winter month would be an increase of \$6.63, or 5.8%, in the customer's total bill. I have attached a bill calculation (Attachment MD-1) showing the percentage of the total bill associated with the GCR change.

- 2 Q. Please explain the impact of the proposed GCR changes for all other customers.
- 3 A. Customers served on Service Classification GG would experience an increase on their
- 4 bills ranging between 2.5% and 7.6%, while customers served on Service Classification MVG
- 5 would experience an increase on their bills ranging between 6.8% and 10.4%, depending upon
- 6 their load and usage characteristics.

7

- 8 Q. Please explain your review of the Application.
- 9 A. I reviewed the Company's Application, including testimonies and exhibits; prior GCR
- dockets, orders, and documents regarding follow-up issues; and the 2015-2016 quarterly hedge
- reports. I also reviewed the natural gas demand supply plan for this Application and the strategic
- gas supply plan for the period 2016/2017 through 2021/2022.
- In addition to information obtained through discovery, I have participated in meetings
- with various Company personnel involved with the GCR. I also performed monthly audits of
- 15 Delmarva's GCR sales, revenues, and costs.

16

- 17 Q. Were you assisted in your review of this filing?
- 18 A. Yes. Mr. Jerry Mierzwa, Vice-President of Exeter Associates, Inc., was retained by the
- 19 Commission to assist Staff and the Division of the Public Advocate ("DPA") in reviewing the
- 20 GCR filing, the Company's Supply Plan, and evaluating the Company's procurement activities
- 21 against established regulatory standards. Mr. Mierzwa's review focused on gas procurement
- practices and policies.

1 **B.** Assessment of the Application

2

3 Q. What actions did you take in preparing for this filing?

- 4 A. Prior to the Company filing this Application, I was involved in auditing the Company's
- 5 gas costs. Each month the Company submits regulatory reports to the Commission. I review the
- 6 report entitled "Comparison of Gas Expense and Recovery" each month. This report provides
- 7 totals for firm sales, total GCR revenue, total gas cost, the Company's monthly over- or under-
- 8 recovery, the deferred fuel balance (year-to-date), and the percentage over- or under-recovery.
- 9 The Company also supplies reports addressing the development of annual commodity and
- demand expenses, summarizing the sales and gas cost rate revenues for the various service
- classifications, and summarizing all pipeline purchases, storage injections and withdraws, and
- 12 hedge program financial settlements.
- Each month the Company submits back-up to these reports consisting of:
- Gas Procurement Reports
- Firm Gas Purchases
- Gas Cost Revenues
- GCR Active Billed Accounts and Accruals
- MVG Gas Customers Sales and Revenues
- Changes of MVG and LVG Contract MDQs in MCF
- General Ledger Account Balances
- Company Use Gas
- Calculations of Weighted Average Cost Of Gas, Flexibly Priced Gas, and Commodity
- 23 Cost Rates

1	• Spreadsheets detailing line item charges to firm and non-firm transportation customers.
2	
3	C. 2015/2016 Gas Cost Rate Proceeding
4	
5	Q. Please summarize the provisions of the settlement agreement reached in the
6	previous year's GCR, Docket No. 15-1355, and your understanding of the Company's
7	compliance with those provisions.
8	A. The Commission entered Order No. 8869 on April 5, 2016, approving the settlement
9	agreement that arose out of last year's GCR. There were eight provisions of the settlement
10	agreement. Below is a brief summary of each provision and the Company's compliance with
11	each issue.
12	1. GCR Rates:
13	The parties agreed that Delmarva would implement the rates proposed in the filing.
14	Staff's review of the Company's gas service tariff leaves show that it has complied with this
15	provision.
16	2. Natural Gas Hedging Program:
17	The parties agreed that Delmarva would continue to execute its Gas Hedging Program in
18	accordance with the settlement approved in Docket No. 08-266F and further continue to hold
19	quarterly hedge meetings to discuss and review the program. Staff, DPA, and the Company have
20	continued to have quarterly meetings to discuss the hedging reports that the Company submits.
21	3. Lost and Unaccounted for ("LAUF") Gas:
22	The Company credited last year's GCR as required by PSC Order No. 8767 issued in

PSC Docket No. 14-0295F, thus resolving the matter.

4. Improving the GCR Process:

- Pursuant to the settlement agreement in Docket No. 14-0295F, the Parties developed a streamlined process for GCR filings which was implemented in docket 15-1355 and continued in
- 4 the current GCR filing.

1

5

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5. Demand Cost Allocation:

- 6 Pursuant to the settlement agreement in Docket No. 14-0295F, the
- 7 Company eliminated the separate allocation of demand costs to RG, GG, and MVG customers in
- 8 Docket No. 15-1355 and the current GCR filing.
 - 6. Protecting GCR Customers from Stranded Costs in the Event of Migration from Sales

10 <u>to Transportation Service:</u>

The Company agreed to address whether additional or modified measures are required to protect GCR customers from stranded costs associated with customer migration from sales to transportation service, including consideration of a Staff/DPA recommendation for modification of Section U of the Company's Gas Service Tariff pertaining to transition charges. Witness Brielmaier states that "the Company has reviewed the issue and determined that such additional or modified measures are not required at this time". Further, he states that the Company's one LVG customer and fourteen (14) MVG customers are unlikely to switch to transportation service due to their load profiles. Mr. Brielmaier goes on to state that the Company does not foresee significant risk of stranded capacity from GG customers due in part to the higher Balancing Charges and the new PSF. Staff agrees with Delmarva as explained in Mr. Mierzwa's testimony.

7. Capacity Reserve Margin:

In order to determine whether there is sufficient natural gas supply and transmission capability to permit a non-core or transportation service customer to return to sales service, the

- 1 Company was to wait until the Commission issues a final Order on this year's Application. Mr.
- 2 Mierzwa further addresses this provision in his testimony.

3 <u>8. Additional Upstream Pipeline Capacity:</u>

- 4 Until such time as the Commission issues an Order rendering its findings on the
- 5 Company's 2016-17 GCR Application, the Company was not to contract for additional upstream
- 6 pipeline capacity or participate in a binding open season for such long-term capacity unless Staff
- 7 and the DPA agreed that the acquisition of additional capacity is reasonable. Mr. Brielmaier
- 8 states in his testimony that no upstream capacity changes are planned at this time. Mr. Mierzwa
- 9 further addresses this provision in his testimony.

10

11

D. 2013/2014 Gas Cost Rate Proceeding

- 12 Q. Please summarize the outstanding issue from Docket No. 13-349F, and the
- 13 Company's compliance regarding that issue.
- 14 A. The Company was to submit a regulatory filing to the Commission in which the
- 15 Company would propose changes to the balancing fees associated with its GCR.
- The Company made the filing on February 3, 2015 (Docket No. 15-0671) to propose
- 17 changes to the methodology for calculating the balancing fees associated with the GCR. The
- 18 Commission entered Order No. 8862 on April 19, 2016. Order 8862 approved the
- implementation of the change in methodology for the calculation of the Balancing Charge and
- 20 PSF beginning with the 2016-2017 Application. The Application includes a Balancing Charge of
- 21 \$0.05897/ccf or \$0.5897/Mcf redelivered and a PSF of \$0.01944/ccf or \$0.1944/Mcf redelivered
- 22 for transportation customers.

E. Forecasted Gas Sales and Supply Costs

2 Q. Please summarize the projected sales forecast for the November 2016 - October

3 **2017 GCR.**

1

- 4 A. The Company used the same methodology it used in Docket No. 15-1355 to forecast its
- 5 sales for the current GCR. The forecasts for Residential, Residential Space Heat, and General
- 6 Gas customers are projected using a multi-variant econometric model. The larger rate classes'
- 7 forecasts are determined on a customer-by-customer basis using sales patterns, production and
- 8 maintenance schedule changes, and load additions or deletions. Normal weather is defined as the
- 9 30-year average of monthly Heating Degree Days on a 65 degree Fahrenheit base ("HDD"),
- which is consistent with Commission Order No. 6327 in Docket No. 03-137.
- Delmarva projects the firm throughput volume for November 2016 through October 2017
- 12 to decrease from the prior GCY. Firm sales and firm transportation are expected to decrease by
- 13 3.7% and 4.8%, respectively, as shown below.

	2015-2016 forecast	2016-2017 forecast	Change	% Change
Firm Bundled Sales	12,837,624 Mcf	12,380,396 Mcf	(457,228) Mcf	-3.7%
Firm Transportation	6,298,077 Mcf	5,872,291 Mcf	(425,786) Mcf	-7.3%
Firm Throughput	19,135,701 Mcf	18,252,687 Mcf	(883,014) Mcf	-4.8%

15

16

14

Q. Was there any difference in the data source used to obtain normal weather in this

17 year's GCR?

- 18 A. No. The HDD history is based on NOAA weather data collected at the "Wilmington" site
- 19 located at the New Castle County Airport, New Castle, Delaware. This is consistent with Order
- No. 6327 in Docket No. 03-137, which directed the Company to use NOAA data once it became
- 21 available to Delmarva's Gas Delivery division. The Company began using NOAA data in the 10-
- 22 295F GCR case.

2	Q.	What perce	entage loss factor did the Company use in this Application to account for
3	gas t	hat is lost and	l unaccounted for?
4	A.	The Compa	any used a 2.4% loss factor. This is slightly lower than the 2.5% loss factor
5	that v	vas used in the	e Company's previous application. The Company derived the loss factor based
6	on ca	lculations of l	ost and unaccounted for gas for 12-month and 24-month periods ending June
7	30, 2	016.	
8			
9	Q.	Please disc	uss how the forecasted spot purchase costs were developed.
10	A.	The Compa	ny used the NYMEX gas futures closing prices on August 5, 2016, as its spot
11	(who	lesale) gas pri	ce. The Company believes that using a different methodology is not likely to
12	provi	de a more acc	curate GCR forecast. This methodology is in compliance with Delaware PSC
13	Orde	r No. 6956 fro	m Docket No. 05-312F, which states that:
14		(a)	Delmarva will use the NYMEX natural gas futures as the primary
15			tool in establishing its proposed gas cost rate each year;
16		(b)	Delmarva will use the NYMEX gas futures prices based upon a
17			single day's close or an average of two or more days of closing
18			prices selected from actual gas futures closing prices observed
19			between July 20 and August 20 each year;
20		(c)	Delmarva will use a consistent gas futures forecasting

methodology from year-to-year unless, in its good faith business

judgment, the Company believes that market indicators suggest

1	that a different methodology is likely to provide a more accurate
2	gas cost rate forecast.
3	
4	Q. Please summarize the projected natural gas commodity costs for the November
5	2016– October 2017 GCR.
6	A. Storage withdrawals are estimated to make up 25% of the commodity requirements, with
7	an estimated cost of \$3.39 per Mcf. Hedged purchases are estimated to make up 20% of
8	commodity requirements, with an estimated cost of \$3.10 per Mcf. Spot purchases are estimated
9	to make up 57% of commodity requirements with an estimated cost of \$3.31 Mcf. The Company
10	is currently estimating commodity costs of \$32,992,970 for the current GCR period.
11	
12	Q. Please summarize the Company's projected fixed costs for the November 2016 -
13	October 2017 GCR.
14	A. The Company is projecting fixed gas costs totaling \$27,209,899 for the 2016-2017 GCR
15	period. This estimate includes costs related to pipeline capacity and supply, costs for storage and
16	seasonal services, and costs for supplemental/peaking sources. The projected fixed costs are
17	\$712,992 or 2.6% lower than in the previous year's GCR projection. According to witness
18	Jacoby's testimony, termination of the Trunkline and Panhandle contract and completion of the
19	ESNG E3 Surcharge attributed to the decrease in fixed costs.
20	
21	Q. How do these costs relate to the fixed costs in the previous year's GCR

- 1 A. Attachments MD-2 through MD-4 depicts the changes in fixed costs over the past three
- 2 years.
- In comparing the projected costs for the GCR period November 2013-October 2014 to
- 4 the projected costs for November 2014-October 2015, the transportation and storage
- 5 contracts were projected to decrease by \$1,626,559 or 5.52% (Attachment MD-2)
- In comparing the projected costs for the GCR period November 2014-October 2015 to
- 7 the projected costs for November 2015-October 2016, the transportation and storage
- 8 contracts were projected to increase by \$90,635 or 0.33% (Attachment MD-3)
- In comparing the projected costs for the GCR period November 2015-October 2016 to
- the projected costs for November 2016-October 2017, the transportation and storage
- 11 contracts are projected to decrease by \$712,993 or 2.55% (Attachment MD-4)

12

- 13 Q. Do you have any recommendations regarding the fixed costs?
- 14 A. Yes. The Company should continue to take steps to mitigate increases in fixed costs
- when managing its pipeline capacity and storage portfolio.

16

- 17 Q. Is the Company proposing a change the Transportation Balancing Charge?
- 18 A. Yes. The Company is proposing to increase the Transportation Balancing Charge from
- 19 \$0.3310 per Mcf to \$0.5897 per Mcf.

- 21 Q. Is the Company proposing a change in the methodology for calculation the 2016-
- 22 **2017 GCR?**
- 23 A. No.

1		
2		F. Capacity Release and Off-System Sales
3		
4	Q.	What is the Company's forecasted off-system sales and capacity release revenues?
5	A.	The Company forecasts that it will receive \$3,218,060 in gross margins from off-system
6	sales	and capacity release transactions.
7		
8	Q.	Is the Company complying with the margin sharing parameters in accordance with
9	PSC	Order No. 7658?
10	A.	It appears that it is. The Application included a schedule detailing actual and estimated
11	off-s	ystem sales and capacity release revenues from November 2016 through October 2017. The
12	Com	pany provided additional information related to off-system sales and capacity release in
13	respo	onse to data requests.
14		
15		IV. Gas Cost Rate Recommendations
16		
17	Q.	Do you agree with the Company's request to modify its GCR factors?
18	A.	Yes, the request appears reasonable. I recommend that the Commission approve the rates
19	that	were previously authorized on a temporary basis, subject to refund, for the 2016-2017 GCR
20	perio	d. The GCR true-up process will provide reconciliation between currently-projected gas
21	costs	and actual gas costs.
22		
23	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?

1 A. Yes.

APPENDIX

Attachment MD-1

Calculations based on residential customer using 120 ccf per winter month

Current		Proposed	
Customer Charge	\$ 11.85	Customer Charge	\$ 11.85
Commodity Charge*- 1st 50 ccf @.52212	\$ 26.11	Commodity Charge- 1st 50 ccf @.52212	\$ 26.11
Commodity Charge-over 50 ccf@.41896	\$ 29.33	Commodity Charge-over 50 ccf@.41896	\$ 29.33
Total Base Rate	\$ 67.28	Total Base Rate	\$ 67.28
ESR**	\$ 0.1536	ESR**	\$ 0.1536
GCR @\$.39467/ccf	\$ 47.36	GCR@\$.44995/ccf	\$ 53.99
TOTAL	\$ 114.80	TOTAL	\$ 121.43
Overall \$ increase		-	\$ 6.63
Overall % increase			5.8%
\$ increase due to GCR			\$ 6.63
% increase due to GCR			14.0%

^{*} Commodity Charges based on interim rates in PSC Docket No. 16-0650 ** Based on PSC Docket 15-1333

Delmarva Power & Light Company Firm Transportation & Storage Contract Portfolio

Summary of Projected Fixed Gas Costs

Pipeline Capacity & Supply	2014-2015 Total Costs		2013-2014 Total Costs	Υ	ear-to-year Change	Percentage Change
Tipeline capacity & Supply	Total Costs		Total Costs		Change	Change
TRANSCO FT	\$9,846,038	\$	11,132,445	\$	(1,286,407)	-11.56%
COLUMBIA FTS	\$1,960,128	\$	1,850,304	\$	109,824	5.94%
TRANSCO SENTINEL FT	\$5,025,415	\$	5,024,320	\$	1,095	0.02%
TETCO, TRUNK & PEPL	\$1,795,423	\$	1,804,652	\$	(9,229)	-0.51%
TRANSCO LEIDY-LINE FT	\$238,290	\$	268,568	\$	(30,278)	-11.27%
GULF FTS-1 &FTS-2	\$1,161,586	\$	1,161,586	\$	-	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE FT365	\$3,906,660	\$	3,906,660	\$	-	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE T-1	\$66,432	\$	66,432	\$	-	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE E-3 SURCHARGE	\$288,053	\$	288,053	\$	-	0.00%
TRANSCO SENTINEL METER UPGRADE	\$468,846	\$	502,700	\$	(33,854)	-6.73%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 24,756,871	\$	26,005,720	\$	(1,248,849)	-4.80%
Storage & Seasonal Services						
TRANSCO GSS	\$1,491,398	\$	1,654,838	\$	(163,440)	-9.88%
COLUMBIA FSS	\$364,044	\$	364,044	\$	-	0.00%
COLUMBIA SST	\$536,658	\$	505,722	\$	30,936	6.12%
TRANSCO PS-3	\$0	\$	123,176	\$	(123,176)	-100.00%
TRANSCO ESS	\$460,559	\$	521,621	\$	(61,062)	-11.71%
TRANSCO WSS	\$194,053	\$	246,632	\$	(52,579)	-21.32%
SUBTOTAL	\$ 3,046,712	\$	3,416,033	\$	(369,321)	-10.81%
Supplemental Peaking Sources						
DELAMADIVALING	ćo	<u> </u>			ćo	
DELMARVA LNG	\$0 \$20.673	\$	-		\$0 (\$0.200)	22.640/
TRANSCO LNG	\$28,673	\$	37,063		(\$8,390)	-22.64%
SUBTOTAL	\$28,673	\$	37,063	\$	(8,390)	-22.64%
TOTAL	\$ 27,832,256	\$	29,458,816	\$	(1,626,560)	-5.52%

Source- Schedule JBJ-2 Docket No. 14-0295F

Attachment MD-3

Delmarva Power & Light Company Firm Transportation & Storage Contract Portfolio

Summary of Projected Fixed Gas Costs

		2016-2016		2014-2015	Year-to-year	Percentage
D: 1: 0 :: 0 C 1		Total Costs		Total Costs	CI.	CI.
Pipeline Capacity & Supply		(Projected)		(Projected)	Change	Change
TRANSCO FT		\$9,916,615		\$9,846,038	\$70,577	0.72%
COLUMBIA FTS		\$1,980,504		\$1,960,128	\$20,376	1.04%
TRANSCO SENTINEL FT		\$5,042,203		\$5,025,415	\$16,788	0.33%
TETCO, TRUNK & PEPL		\$1,829,813		\$1,795,423	\$34,390	1.92%
TRANSCO LEIDY-LINE FT		\$239,551		\$238,290	\$1,261	0.53%
GULF FTS-1 &FTS-2		\$1,161,598		\$1,161,586	\$12	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE FT365		\$4,031,520		\$3,906,660	\$124,860	3.20%
EASTERN SHORE T-1		\$66,456		\$66,432	\$24	0.04%
EASTERN SHORE E-3 SURCHARGE		\$96,018		\$288,053	(\$192,035)	-66.67%
TRANSCO SENTINEL METER UPGRADE		\$470,131		\$468,846	\$1,285	0.27%
SUBTOTAL	\$	24,834,409	\$	24,756,871	\$77,538	0.31%
Storage & Seasonal Services						
TRANSCO GSS		\$1,498,488		\$1,491,398	\$7,090	0.48%
COLUMBIA FSS		\$362,448		\$364,044	(\$1,596)	-0.44%
COLUMBIA SST		\$542,394		\$536,658	\$5,736	1.07%
TRANSCO ESS		\$461,809		\$460,559	\$1,250	0.27%
TRANSCO WSS		\$194,585		\$194,053	\$532	0.27%
SUBTOTAL	\$	3,059,724	\$	3,046,712	\$13,012	0.43%
Supplemental Peaking Sources						
DELMARVA LNG		\$0		\$0	\$0	
TRANSCO LNG		\$28,759		\$28,673	\$86	0.30%
SUBTOTAL		\$28,759		\$28,673	\$86	0.30%
TOTAL	\$	27,922,892	\$	27,832,256	\$90,636	0.33%
· • · · · · -	•	=:,==,55=	•	=,,00=,200	+22,200	2.2370

Source- Schedule JBJ-2 Docket No. 1355

Attachment MD-4

Delmarva Power & Light Company Firm Transportation & Storage Contract Portfolio

Summary of Projected Fixed Gas Costs

		2015-2016 Total Costs		2015-2016 Total Costs	Year-to-year	Percentage
Pipeline Capacity & Supply		(Projected)		(Projected)	Change	Change
TRANSCO FT		\$9,844,011		\$9,916,615	(\$72,604)	-0.73%
COLUMBIA FTS		\$1,999,572		\$1,980,504	\$19,068	0.96%
TRANSCO SENTINEL FT		\$5,025,780		\$5,042,203	(\$16,423)	-0.33%
TETCO, TRUNK & PEPL		\$1,254,541		\$1,829,813	(\$575,272)	-31.44%
TRANSCO LEIDY-LINE FT		\$238,369		\$239,551	(\$1,182)	-0.49%
GULF FTS-1 &FTS-2		\$1,161,598		\$1,161,598	\$0	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE FT365		\$4,031,520		\$4,031,520	\$0	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE T-1		\$66,456		\$66,456	\$0	0.00%
EASTERN SHORE E-3 SURCHARGE		\$0		\$96,018	(\$96,018)	-100.00%
TRANSCO SENTINEL METER UPGRADE		\$468,846		\$470,131	(\$1,285)	-0.27%
SUBTOTAL	\$	24,090,693	\$	24,834,409	(\$743,716)	-2.99%
Storage & Seasonal Services						
TRANSCO GSS		\$1,493,001		\$1,498,488	(\$5,487)	-0.37%
COLUMBIA FSS		\$362,448		\$362,448	\$0	0.00%
COLUMBIA SST		\$547,758		\$542,394	\$5,364	0.99%
TRANSCO ESS		\$460,547		\$461,809	(\$1,262)	-0.27%
TRANSCO WSS		\$226,772		\$194,585	\$32,187	16.54%
SUBTOTAL	\$	3,090,526	\$	3,059,724	\$30,802	1.01%
Supplemental Peaking Sources						
DELMARVA LNG		\$0		\$0	\$0	
TRANSCO LNG		\$28,680		\$28,759	(\$79)	-0.27%
SUBTOTAL		\$28,680		\$28,759	(\$79)	-0.27%
TOTAL	\$	27,209,899	\$	27,922,892	(\$712,993)	-2.55%
IVIAL	Y	21,203,033	Y	27,322,032	(77 12,333)	2.33/0

Source- Schedule JBJ-2 Docket No. 16-0889